

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/936,509	09/10/2001	l	F. Ann Hayes	2912-US	4419
22932	7590 06/2	29/2004		EXAMINER	
	CORPORATIO	O HARA, EILEEN B			
LAW DEPARTMENT 1201 AMGEN COURT WEST				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	SEATTLE, WA 98119			1646	
				T. TT. 11.11.ED. 06/00/000	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/936,509	HAYES, F. ANN					
Onice Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Eileen O'Hara	1646					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	s action is non-final.						
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. 							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	o□	(/DTO 442)					
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/936,509

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-5 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deswal et al., Circulation., Vol. 96, No. 8, October 21, 1997, page I-323, abstract 1802, and further in view of Swedberg et al., European Heart Journal, ages 136-139, January 1999.

Claims 1-5 are drawn to a method of treating chronic heart failure in a patient comprising administering by subcutaneous injection a dose of TNFR:Fc at 5 mg/m² or 12mg/m² per dose up to a maximum of 25 mg per dose at least two times per week for a time sufficient to induce a sustained improvement over baseline.

Deswal et al. teach administration of TNFR:Fc to patients with advanced heart failure, wherein the dose is a single intravenous administration of 1-4 mg/m², and wherein the improvements were maximal 7-14 days after administration.

Swedberg et al. teach administration of enalapril for six months on average, to patients with severe heart failure, wherein improvement was sustained for at least 4 years after treatment.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,509

Art Unit: 1646

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the conditions of administration of TNFR:Fc to patients with chronic heart failure, since Deswal et al. teach improvement of patients with advanced heart failure with a single low dose of TNFR:Fc, and the clinical trial of Deswal et al. was a preliminary study. Swedberg et al. is cited as demonstrating that it is desirable that any improvements in patients with heart failure be sustained. The skilled artisan would be motivated to optimize treatment regimens since chronic heart failure is a major health problem, and there would be a reasonable expectation of success since Deswal et al. demonstrated improvements in a preliminary clinical trail with TNFR:Fc, and since TNFR:Fc has been successfully used as a therapeutic in other conditions where excess TNF is a factor, such as rheumatoid arthritis.

Conclusion

3. No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0878.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached at (571) 272-0887.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/936,509

Art Unit: 1646

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, se http://pair-direct.ispto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Elier B.O Nara

Patent Examiner